REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 10-17 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 6-7 and 10-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,421,622.

Also, claims 18-29 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,352.

Applicant is submitting herewith a terminal disclaimer to obviate bases for these double patenting rejections. It is therefore respectfully submitted that claims 1-3, 6-8 and 10-29 are now patentable to applicant.

Claims 4, 5 and 9 have been indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the bases claim and any intervening claims. These claims have been so amended and are presented herewith in independent form to be patentable to applicant.

Reconsideration and allowance are solicited.

Respectfully submitted, MICHAEL A. HORTON

Dated: 9/1/04

By: Q-(, Sm

Albert C. Smith Reg. No.: 20,355

FENWICK & WEST LLP

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94306

Tel: (650) 335-7296 Fax: (650) 938-5200

ATTACHMENT:

Terminal Disclaimer